

## DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

*At a meeting of the Development Control Committee on Monday, 4 November 2019 at Civic Suite - Town Hall, Runcorn*

Present: Councillors Nolan (Chair), Carlin, R. Hignett, V. Hill, J. Lowe, C. Plumpton Walsh, Thompson, Woolfall and Zygadlo

Apologies for Absence: Councillors Morley and June Roberts

Absence declared on Council business: None

Officers present: A. Jones, J. Tully, T. Gibbs, A. Plant, G. Henry, P. Peak and L. Wilson-Lagan

Also in attendance: 18 members of the public and one member of the press.

### ITEMS DEALT WITH UNDER DUTIES EXERCISABLE BY THE COMMITTEE

	<i>Action</i>
DEV11 MINUTES	
<p>The Minutes of the meeting held on 7 October 2019, having been circulated, were taken as read and signed as a correct record.</p>	
DEV12 PLANNING APPLICATIONS TO BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMITTEE	
<p>The Committee considered the following applications for planning permission and, in accordance with its powers and duties, made the decisions described below.</p>	
DEV13 - 19/00235/FUL - PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF 243 DWELLING HOUSES, INCLUDING ACCESS, OPEN SPACE AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE ON LAND NORTH OF RAILWAY AND WEST OF TANHOUSE LANE, WIDNES	
<p>The consultation procedure undertaken was outlined in the report together with background information in respect of the site.</p>	
<p>Officers advised the Committee that a letter of objection relating to noise had been received today which required investigation by the Environmental Health</p>	

Department at the Council, so the application could not be determined today. It was agreed that the application be deferred to a future meeting of the Committee.

RESOLVED: That the application be deferred to allow consultation with the Environmental Health Department, following receipt of an objection.

DEV14 - 19/00325/FUL PROPOSED ERECTION OF 236 DWELLINGS AND 100 EXTRA CARE APARTMENTS, TOGETHER WITH CAR PARKING, LANDSCAPING, ROADS, BRIDGES, FOOTWAYS, DRAINAGE INFRASTRUCTURE AND ASSOCIATED WORKS ON OPEN LAND TO NORTH EAST OF VILLAGE STREET, TO THE EAST AND WEST OF THE WEST COAST RAIL LINE, SANDYMOOR

The consultation procedure undertaken was outlined in the report together with background information in respect of the site.

Since the publication of the Committee agenda, Officers advised that a further 24 letters of objection had been received plus a further 10 letters from an individual objector. It was noted that many of these sought to raise the same issues already raised in the report or just to reaffirm them. A number of further issues had been raised and these were outlined in the Officer's update together with 11 main issues which were outlined together with a summary response to each. Details of one letter of support were also summarised.

Members were advised that Councillor John Bradshaw, Ward Councillor for Daresbury, had contacted Officers via email, as he was unable to attend today, with his concerns regarding the proposal. These included traffic generation and routing, and the size, location and look of the sheltered housing block. Officers also provided updates with regards to the queries made by the Council's Open Spaces Officer on page 51 of the report.

The Committee was addressed by Nick Fillingham on behalf of the applicant. He commented that (*inter alia*):

- the objections made had been noted, however the scheme for extra care homes was much needed in Halton and was on the Government's agenda;
- the homes would be situated so that they were part of the community with a bistro that would be open to the public;

- following an amended plan, there was now a generous separation distance from the site to neighbouring houses of 55 metres;
- the houses on the development would all have off road parking and the extra care homes would have visitor parking as well as their own parking; and
- so far engagement had been positive with all parties.

Mr Mackintosh, a resident of Sandymoor for 26 years, then addressed the Committee objecting to the 100 extra care homes scheme. He stated (*inter alia*) that these were not part of the masterplan and that due to the height and scale of them they were inappropriate and out of character for the area. He stated that Homes England had assured that any further house building would mirror existing houses on Sandymoor. Further, he questioned the need for this type of housing; the lack of affordable housing on Sandymoor; the impact on wildlife; noise disturbance; increased traffic and road safety.

The Committee was then addressed by Bernadette Tarry, Clerk to Sandymoor Parish Council, who raised her objections to the scheme on behalf of local residents. Following a meeting held with residents, she outlined three main areas of concern discussed by them:

- the 100 bed extra care housing block; they claimed that the housing block was so large it would dominate the area and was out of character with the surrounding properties:
- the lack of bungalows in the area; and
- the entry and exit routes for construction traffic; she suggested an alternative route was used for construction traffic.

In response to a comment made by a speaker regarding the 'extra care' housing block being 50% taller than the School, Officers referred Members to the comparison massing drawing and provided the heights of each building, which showed that the sheltered housing block was approximately 2 metres higher than the school when comparing the principle flat roof elements of each scheme. Officers acknowledged that central pitched roof features did add to the overall height but reaffirmed their position outlined in the report.

Following Members' queries, the following was clarified/noted:

- Merseyside Environmental Advisory Service (MEAS) was the Council's retained advisor for environmental matters;
- That the potential status of Bog Wood as an area of 'ancient woodland' was outlined in the report;
- Affordable housing provision did not form part of the policy for house building on Sandymoor;
- The consultations and missed properties referred to on page 39 – some properties had been missed initially, however this was resolved and a further process of re-consultation had been undertaken and that Officers' were satisfied that all relevant properties had been consulted on the proposal;
- The need for housing for the over 55's welcomed as Halton had an aging population which was increasing year on year as per the Core Strategy Policy; and
- The applicant had submitted a revised application to take account of the unduly close proximity of elements of the development to Bog Wood. In so doing the revised development proposal adversely affected a single mature oak tree outside of Bog Wood. This was not acceptable and further discussions with the applicant would be required to secure relatively minor amendments to resolve this issue.

After consideration of the application and hearing the speakers' comments and officers' responses and updates to the proposal, the Committee agreed that determination of the application be delegated to the Operational Director, as recommended in the Officer's report.

RESOLVED: That authority is delegated to the Operational Director – Policy, Planning and Transportation, in consultation with the Chair or Vice Chair of the Committee, to determine the application and if the determination was to approve the application, that it be subject to appropriate conditions and modification to the existing legal obligation.

DEV15 - 19/00382/FUL - PROPOSED EXTENSION TO LIGHT INDUSTRIAL UNIT TOGETHER WITH ANCILLARY WORKS AT VENTRCROFT LTD, FARADY ROAD, RUNCORN, WA7 1PE

The consultation procedure undertaken was outlined in the report together with background information in respect of the site.

After consideration of the application the Committee

agreed that the application be approved, subject to the conditions listed below.

RESOLVED: That the application be approved subject to conditions relating to the following:

1. Standard 3 year timescale for commencement of development;
2. Specifying approved and amended plans;
3. Matching materials conditions(s) (BE2);
4. Condition requiring submission of a method statement for the control of Japanese Knotweed (GE21);
5. Condition requiring submission of a verification report on completion of treatment of Japanese Knotweed (GE21);
6. Condition requiring submission and agreement of cycle parking details (TP6);
7. Condition restricting surface water run-off onto the adopted highway (TP17);
8. Condition requiring submission; agreement; implementation; of a sustainable drainage scheme (BE1 and PR5);
9. Submission and agreement of Site Waste Management Plan (WM8);
10. Submission and agreement of a lighting scheme (BE1);
11. Submission and agreement of site and finished floor levels (BE1); and
12. There shall be no external storage (BE1).

*Meeting ended at 7.10 p.m.*